# 2022-23 Internal Audit Assurance and Consultancy Reviews

| Δ               | n | n | eχ | 1 |
|-----------------|---|---|----|---|
| $\boldsymbol{}$ |   |   | -  |   |

| Audit Review<br>Title       | Planned<br>Quarter | Current<br>Status | Audit<br>Opinion | Scope of Audit and Findings                |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| Climate Change              | 1                  | Complete          | Substantial      | Reported to September 2022 Audit Committee |
| Fly Tipping and Enforcement | 1                  | Draft<br>Report   |                  |  |
| Customer Services<br>Review | Ongoing            | Ongoing           |                  |  |
| GDPR                        | 3                  | Planning          |                  |  |

| Member<br>Declaration of<br>Interest / Expenses<br>allocation | 1 | Complete | Substantial | Internal Audit's overall Audit Opinion of Substantial is based on the following Key Strengths and Areas for Development:  Key Strengths  A review of the Register of Interest published for each Councillor was completed. A register was available for all but two councillors (see weaknesses below), but those viewable appeared to be completed fully.  Published Register of Interest forms had generally been signed promptly by the Monitoring Officer.  Guidance is provided to Councillors upon election around openness and transparency on personal interests, and the Monitoring Officer periodically reminds Councillors to review that their Register of Interest is up-to-date.  The Code of Conduct has been designed in consultation with The Kent Secretaries, and has clearly been considered against (and modified to align with) the Local Government Association model code.  The Constitution clearly outlines the roles of the Joint Standards Committee and the General Purposes Committee in the monitoring and upkeeping of the Code of Conduct.  There is a whistleblowing policy for staff and a separate one for external persons (e.g. members of the public, contractors, etc.). These are thorough, with the internal policy reviewed annually by by the Audit Assurance Manager and approved by the General Purposes Committee.  There is an anti-fraud, bribery and corruption policy which is thorough, annually reviewed by the Audit Assurance Manager, and approved by Audit Committee.  Internal Audit reviewed a sample of TMBC Committee Meetings. All meetings reviewed had a formal documentation of declarations of interest, excluding the Parish |
|---|---|----------|-------------|---|
| Declaration of<br>Interest / Expenses                         | 1 | Complete | Substantial | <ul> <li>The Code of Conduct has been designed in consultation with The Kent Secretaries, and has clearly been considered against (and modified to align with) the Local Government Association model code.</li> <li>The Constitution clearly outlines the roles of the Joint Standards Committee and the General Purposes Committee in the monitoring and upkeeping of the Code of Conduct.</li> <li>There is a whistleblowing policy for staff and a separate one for external persons (e.g. members of the public, contractors, etc.). These are thorough, with the internal policy reviewed annually by by the Audit Assurance Manager and approved by the General Purposes Committee.</li> <li>There is an anti-fraud, bribery and corruption policy which is thorough, annually reviewed by the Audit Assurance Manager, and approved by Audit Committee.</li> <li>Internal Audit reviewed a sample of TMBC Committee Meetings. All meetings reviewed</li> </ul>  |

|   |   |                           | Interest available On reviewing a record of Ke documentation At the time of website did no website did no website did no current version The Joint Stan The Constitution | audit fieldwork, two Cople for review.  a sample of key decisions y Decision Taken under of consideration of declar audit fieldwork, the verset contain the current Code ne search function on the truption policy, the first 2 is third in the list.  dards Committee does not not states that training a sea reasonable excuse. The verset of the contains a sea reasonable and Code the co | sion of the Constitution are of Conduct. The TMBC wesbite to sear results are outdated very of meet regularly. Tround The Code of Condition is not currently imple of Conduct training.  Management Action | were identified where a was published without available on the TMBC arch for the 'anti-fraud, sions of the policy. The duct must be attended mented and 20 current |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   |   |                           | High Diek  | raised   | Plan developed   | no action proposed   |
|   |   |                           | High Risk Medium Risk  | 2  | 0 2  | 0  |
|   |   |                           | Low Risk   | 1  | 1  | 0  |
|   |   |                           | LOW MISK   | <u>'</u>   | 1  | 0  |
| Taxi and Vehicle licensing, Monitoring and enforcement      | 2 | Planning<br>Delayed<br>Q4 |  |  |  |  |
| Freedom of<br>Information and<br>Subject Access<br>Requests | 2 | Fieldwork                 |  |  |  |  |
| Performance<br>Management and<br>Data Quality               | 3 | Planning                  |  |  |  |  |
| IT Infrastructure   | 1 | On Hold                   |  |  |  |  |

| Λ | n | n | Δν |  |
|---|---|---|----|--|
| н | n | n | eх |  |

| Council Tax Administration (Recovery and write offs) | 3       | Fieldwork |  |
|--|---------|-----------|--|
| Business Rates<br>Admin                              | 4       |           |  |
| Accounts Payable                                     | 2       | Fieldwork |  |
| Risk Management                                      | 4       |           |  |
| Fire Safety -<br>Gibson Building                     | 4       |           |  |
| Local Plan   | Ongoing | Ongoing   |  |
| Housing Allocation<br>Scheme                         | Ongoing | Planning  |  |

| Disabled Facilities<br>Grant | 1 | Complete | Adequate |
|------------------------------|---|----------|----------|

Internal Audit's overall Audit Opinion of **Adequate** is based on the following Key Strengths and Areas for Development:

### **Key Strengths**

- The processes for approving applications and additional works have appropriate controls in place to ensure the proper procedure is carried out.
- The grants sampled were appropriately approved.
- Disabled Facilities Grants require communication with external parties, such as contractors, Kent County Council Occupation Therapists, and TMBC's Home Improvements Agency, Town & Country Housing. Much of these communications were traceable to Internal Audit.
- Information manually recorded on Uniform is accurate and informed by external records, such as client applications and contractor quotes.
- The monitoring of controls outside Uniform ensure the overview of application approval and payments due.
- There are plans to increase case numbers to combat the underspend by working with other local authorities and KCC Occupational Therapists to ensure the service continues to meet its statutory duty.

#### **Areas for Development**

- There is limited case monitoring within Uniform.
- An overview of spend is not visible within Uniform for PSH, and is done by monthly Capital Monitoring Reports completed by management.
- Uniform is not intuitive at interpreting and tracking data.
- There were several missing pieces of information not stored in IDOX including letters to clients, a variation notice, and payment certificates.
- The Uniform system is not user friendly and increases the scope of human error rather than reduces it due to manual inputs and not being able to flag when documents are outstanding.

## **Summary of management responses**

|             | Number of<br>reccomendations<br>raised | Management Action<br>Plan developed | Risk accepted and no action proposed |
|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| High Risk   | 0                                      | 0                                   | 0                                    |
| Medium Risk | 2                                      | 2                                   | 0                                    |
| Low Risk    | 0                                      | 0                                   | 0                                    |

| 2022-23 Inte | ernal Audit | Assurance | and Conรเ |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
|              |             |           |           |
| Parking      | 2           | Complete  | Limited   |
|              |             |           |           |

Internal Audit's overall Audit Opinion of **Limited** is based on the following Key Strengths and Areas for Development:

### **Key Strengths**

- Internal Audit reviewed the governance and consultation undertaken prior to the introduction of the revised fee structure. Fees were reviewed by the Cabinet, addressed as a key decision, and subject to public consultation as per The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996.
- Spot checks of off-street car parks and on-street paid parking zones demonstrated updates had been made since the revised parking charges were introduced. Fees shown on the signage, pay and display machine software, and RingGo system had been updated in line with the Traffic Regulation Orders.
- The Flowbird Weboffice gives real-time updates of the pay and display machines. This
  allows TMBC to be able to monitor the levels of cash held in the machine, identify when the
  machine has been emptied, and promptly recognise if a machine is out of order for any
  reason.
- The Parking Manager is now actively tracking collections using the Flowbird Weboffice to allow him to challenge and dispute invoices sent by G4S for cashbox collections. Whilst there are ongoing concerns with this provider (see weaknesses), Internal Audit have noted that TMBC have tried many times to contact G4S regarding non-collections and poor contract performance and have now escalated to with-holding payment.
- The reconciliation process completed by the Finance Department for money collected by RingGo was reviewed and showed that a suitable process is followed to ensure monies due to be paid by RingGo are received by TMBC.

#### **Areas for Development**

- When cashboxes are collected by TMBC Officers the current practice of storing this cash whilst awaiting G4S to collect for banking is not secure resulting in risk of monetary loss.
- There is no current practice to reconcile pay and display machine income against the Flowbird Weboffice. TMBC do not have assurance that the amount of cash collected and banked by G4S is correct.
- There is an ongoing issue of non-collection of cashboxes by G4S.
- TMBC do not receive adequate assurance that the maintenance schedule paid for is received.

#### Summary of management responses

| ballinary of management responses |                         |                                  |                                      |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
|                                   | Number of issues raised | Management Action Plan developed | Risk accepted and no action proposed |  |  |
| High Risk                         | 2                       | 2                                | 0                                    |  |  |
| Medium Risk                       | 1                       | 1                                | 0                                    |  |  |
| Low Risk                          | 1                       | 1                                | 0                                    |  |  |

# 2022-23 Internal Audit Assurance and Consultancy Reviews

Annex 1

| Planning<br>Application<br>Process    | 3   | Planning |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----|----------|--|
| Landscaping<br>Contract<br>Management | 3   |          |  |
| Cyber Security                        | 1   | On Hold  |  |
| TMBC Assurance<br>Mapping             | твс |          |  |